

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,176	/502,176 02/10/2000		Steven Pirie-Shepherd	. 05940-0141	4843
23594	7590 09	9/27/2002			
JOHN S. PF	RATT	EXAMINER			
	K STOCKTON	LLP	HARRIS, ALANA M		
1100 PEACH					
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AILANIA,	GA 30309			1642	10
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/502,176	PIRIE-SHEPHERD ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)						
(b) \(\subseteq \text{ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b)} \)	•					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 27.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6-11, 15 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants allege that the proposed amendment to claim 1 including the recitation "protein corresponding to" renders the 102(b) rejection moot. However, in view of this language the 102(b) rejection of record would need to be readdressed. The claims would also need further examination to determine whether or not the proposed claim language reads on open or closed language. It would appear that Applicants could overcome the rejections of record by amending the claims to include consist or consisting claim language.

Ø

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600